

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 10/018,462 | 04/25/2002 | Andre Matthaei | 00137.00019 | 2530 |
| 22907 7: | 590 05/13/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| BANNER & WITCOFF | | | THEISEN, MARY LYNN F | |
| 1001 G STREE SUITE 1100 | T N W | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| WASHINGTO | N, DC 20001 | | 1732 | |

DATE MAILED: 05/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Applicant(s) MATTHAELET AL Art Unit 1732 Sheet with the correspondence add PIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM ver, may a reply be timely filed simum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this considered timely S | idress y. ommunication. |
|--|--|
| Art Unit 1732 Sheet with the correspondence add PIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM ver, may a reply be timely filed simum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this considered timely become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Ition, even if timely filed, may reduce any al. mal matters, prosecution as to the | idress y. ommunication. |
| PIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM ver, may a reply be timely filed imum of thirty (30) days will be considered timel SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this concentration of the come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Ition, even if timely filed, may reduce any al. mal matters, prosecution as to the 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | y. ommunication. |
| PIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM ver, may a reply be timely filed imum of thirty (30) days will be considered timel fix (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this circle become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C.§ 133). tion, even if timely filed, may reduce any al. mal matters, prosecution as to the | y. ommunication. |
| PIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM ver, may a reply be timely filed imum of thirty (30) days will be considered timel SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this con- become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). tion, even if timely filed, may reduce any al. mal matters, prosecution as to the | y. ommunication. |
| ver, may a reply be timely filed imum of thirty (30) days will be considered timel SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this considered timely filed (become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). It is too the considered timely filed, may reduce any seven if timely filed, may reduce any all. The mail matters, prosecution as to the second matters, prosecution as to the second matters. | ommunication. |
| mal matters, prosecution as to the 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | e merits is |
| mal matters, prosecution as to the 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | e merits is |
| ation | |
| ation | |
| ment. | |
| | |
| objected to by the Examiner. in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). e drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CF attached Office Action or form P1 | ` ' |
| | |
| ived. ived in Application No ive been received in this National (a)). | Stage |
| | D-152) |
| | e drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 Colore attached Office Action or form PT attached Office O |

Art Unit: 1732

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: page 3, line
 refers to claims 1-14. This format is not acceptable because the claim may be
 canceled (as in the instant application) or the content changed.

Appropriate correction is required.

2. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: The specification does not state that the preproduct drops have a diameter of 0.3 to 3 mm as indicated in claim 20. The limitation is in original claim 11.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claims 33-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The apparatus claims appear to depend from the process claims which is an improper format.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/018,462

Art Unit: 1732

- Page 3
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 33-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mahl, Jr. et al in view of Cramer.
- 7. Mahl, Jr. et al discloses an apparatus for forming drops using a nozzlehead (3), drop tower (1), heat exchanger (6), fan means (4) and recycle line. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a nozzlehead with vibrational stimulation to drops of 0.3 to 3 mm because Cramer shows that this configuration is used in drop towers. See examples where screen sizes are 8-14 (1.19-2.83 mm). The length of the drop tower would have been obvious based on the material being processed as it need to be long enough so that the drops solidify before reaching the bottom but not so long as to require extra energy for the countercurrent air flow velocity.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 20-32 are allowed.
- 9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art does not suggest using vibrational stimulation to form drops of 0.3

Art Unit: 1732

to 3 mm which is introduced into a gaseous medium that accelerates crystallization of the drops.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary Lynn F. Theisen whose telephone number is 571-272-1210. The examiner can normally be reached on Thursday and Friday 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni can be reached on 571-272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mary Lynn F. Theiser Primary Examiner

5/7/04

Page 4

Art Unit 1732

mlt